UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,880	07/10/2006	Jochen Spriestersbach	FLGDK28.001APC	5880
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 06/21/2007 RTENS OLSON & BEA	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	TREET	PASCHALL, MARK H		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			06/21/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		•		(V)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/549,880	SPRIESTERSBACH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark H. Paschall	3742	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	9SS
WHIII - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	·	
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	N⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	opplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been	received in this National Sta	age
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmei	• •	_		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application	
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09-16-2005</u> .	6) Other:	* *	

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP1195219 in view of Lindstrom 6,198,068. EP teaches the claimed invention, see figures 1-2 and 5-8 but do not claim use of wire type solder in combination with inert gas welding. The patent to Lindstrom is applied for evidencing use of zinc based wire filler 10 used in conjunction with plasma welding and use of the same leads to effective joining of the claimed materials. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3742

modify the EP system to include the same, to provide an effective and strong weld of the claimed materials. The specific percentages of solder materials and or particular gases disclosed in the dependent claims are considered obvious and routine choices for the artisan, dependent on undisclosed parameters such as materials welded and gases and power levels used in the welding or soldering.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen et al, Clay et al, Pan et al and Teshima et al are cited for disclosing soldering systems of interest to the disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Mр